Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/749,954	JANGBARWALA	JANGBARWALA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Ernest G. Therkorn	1723		
All Participants:	Status of Application:			
(1) <u>Ernest G. Therkorn</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>David A. Hey</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 16 August 2005	Time:			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:				
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: None				
Claims discussed: None				
Prior art documents discussed: None				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet				
Part III.				
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 				
Backlin				
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appr	opriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner required an election of species between claims 1-15 and 16-21. Upon the election of claims 1-15, the examiner proposed cancelling non-elected claims 16-21 to place the case in condition for allowance. David A. Hey then authorized cancelling the non-elected invention by examiner's amendment.